

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPRESSOR		
09/821,376	03/29/2001	David Kee Yang	8491	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	590 02/24/2003	_				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAMINER			
			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1761	4.0		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	D	Applicant(s)	10		
		09/821,376		YANG ET AL.	•		
ost Aution Summant		Examiner		Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	Curtis E. Sherr	or	1761			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	unnears on the cov	er sheet with the c		ddress		
ariad fa	r Renly						
A SHO THE N - Exten after 9 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated apply received by the Office later than three months after the main digital part of the main digital p	1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory r od will apply and will expl	wever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1/26/02					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL 2b)	This action is nor	ı-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for der <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	r formal matters, p le, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is		
	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4)[🔀	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the same wi	drawn from consid	leration.				
- ∴□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
5)∐							
6)⊠	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
7)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requ	iirement.				
	tion Papers						
NΠ	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
3)□ 10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)∐ ob	jected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	A will cent may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) b∈	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.00(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ appı	roved b) 🔲 disapp	roved by the Exar	niner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Office	e action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Driority	under 35 H.S.C. && 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1 Contified copies of the priority docur	ments have been	received.				
	Contified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a second copies.	a list of the certific	ed copies not rece	ived.			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisi	onal application		
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	nrovisional app	lication has been	received.			
Attachm					er No(s)		
1) [] No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48)	4) Interview Sumr 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Pape nal Patent Application	1 (PTO-152)		
18 B-1-1	nd Trademark Office			Р	art of Paper No. 10		

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 01/16/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "about" in claim 1 and others is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

In response to the above rejection, applicants state that examples 1-4 provide the requisite scope for the term "about." Applicants specifically refer to Example 2 to show that "about 55" is defined as "from about 20 to about 25." Defining one indefinite by other indefinite terms does

Art Unit: 1761

not provide the necessary clarity so as to inform the public as to the scope of applicants' claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Product Alert (v. 28, n.11) for the reason set forth in the last Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Product Alert for the reason set forth in the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The pertinent arguments relating to the 112 rejection has been addressed above.

With regard to the rejection base of 102/103, applicants argue that the cited art does not provide for a Glycemic Index of about 55 or less." Because the prior art teaches all the ingredient listed in the claim, it is more than reasonable to assume that it also teaches the Glycemic Index characteristic. If this is not the case, then it would appear that the claims' scope is too broad. Further, applicants have not met their burden of showing what the Index would be for the prior art product. Lastly, applicants state on page 4 of their specification, that the Glycemic Index can be controlled by excluding or minimizing the presence of certain high glycemic sugars such as glucose and sucrose. The prior art composition contains agave nectar, the same as that found in dependent claim 17. Therefore, the prior art composition would have the claimed Glycemic Index.

As to the rejection base on 103, motivation to modify a reference may be provided by the findings of law, e.g., in case law. *In re Levin* was cited for the modification of recipes for the consumers' benefit. Applicants also argue that the prior art does not suggest all of the claimed limitations, i.e., the Glycemic Index. As stated above, this limitation is inherently met.

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner February 20, 2003